



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PR
MF

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,699	05/10/2001	Bernd-Georg Pietras	MRKS/0029	8491

7590 03/28/2003

William B Patterson
Thomason Moser & Patterson
Suite 1500
3040 Post Oak Boulevard
Houston, TX 77056

EXAMINER

OMGBA, ESSAMA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3726

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/762,699	PIETRAS, BERND-GEORG <i>Z</i>
	Examiner Essama Omgba	Art Unit 3726

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>13</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stogner (US Patent 5,255,751).

Stogner discloses a method for facilitating the connection of tubulars using a top drive, the method comprising the steps of adjusting a supporting member 22 supporting a tool 23 to cause the tool to be displaced horizontally relative to the top drive, see column 2, lines 24-26, column 4, lines 1-11, 67 and 68, and column 5, lines 1-3. Applicant should note that it is inherent that the supporting member of Stogner is attached to a top drive not shown in the drawing as is known in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stogner (US Patent 5,255,751) in view of Boyadjieff (US Patent 4,625,796).

With regards to claims 1, 2, 10, 12 and 13, Stogner discloses an apparatus for facilitating the connection of tubulars using a top drive, the apparatus comprising a supporting member 22 for supporting a tool 23 and a means for allowing substantially horizontal and vertical movements of the supporting member, see column 2, lines 24-26, column 4, lines 1-11, 67 and 68, and column 5, lines 1-3. Stogner does not disclose a plate attachable to the top drive. However Boyadjieff teaches a plate 48 attachable to a top drive 19 of an apparatus for connecting tubulars. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have attached the top drive of Stogner to a plate, in light of the teachings of Boyadjieff, as is conventional in the art.

For claim 3, see element 25.

For claim 5, the spring members of Stogner are equivalent to Applicant's pitons and cylinder arrangement. Furthermore, it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to use a cylinder and piston arrangement for vertical displacement of members.

For claim 6, see column 5, lines 35-39 of Boyadjieff.

For claim 7, Applicant should note that the provision of a mud pipe is conventional in the art, see element 49 for example in Boyadjieff.

For claim 8, even though Boyadjieff does not disclose the mud pipe moveable in relation to the plate and the supporting member about ball joints, however it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the provision of ball joints for the movement of the mud pipe is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in using ball joints to move the mud pipe versus the arrangement taught by Boyadjieff.

For claim 9, see column 4, lines 10 and 11 of Stogner. Applicant should note that using a hydraulic motor as a supporting member is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in using a hydraulic motor for the supporting member versus the arrangement taught by Stogner.

For claim 11, supporting member 22 is integral with tool 23.

For claim 14, Stogner discloses a method for facilitating the connection of tubulars using a top drive, the method comprising the steps of adjusting a supporting member 22 supporting a tool 23 to cause the tool to be displaced horizontally relative to the top drive, see column 2, lines 24-26, column 4, lines 1-11, 67 and 68, and column 5, lines 1-3. Although Stogner does not structurally show how the top drive is connected to the tool, however Boyadjieff teaches a conventional arrangement of a top drive 19 with a plate 48 that is connected to a tool 21. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the tool of Stogner is connected to the top drive via plate supporting member 22, in light of the teachings of Boyadjieff, as is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 4 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgbra whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (703) 308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3580 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

eo EO
March 24, 2003

